## **RESOLUTION NO. 2009-131**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ELK GROVE
AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO COOPERATE WITH THE LEAGUE OF
CALIFORNIA CITIES, OTHER CITIES AND COUNTIES IN LITIGATION
CHALLENGING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ANY SEIZURE BY STATE
GOVERNMENT OF THE CITY'S STREET MAINTENANCE FUNDS

WHEREAS, the current economic crisis has placed cities under incredible financial pressure and caused them to make painful budget cuts, including layoffs and furloughs of city workers, decreasing maintenance and operations of public facilities, and reductions in direct services to keep spending in line with declining revenues; and

WHEREAS, since the early 1990s the state government of California has seized over \$10 billion of city property tax revenues statewide, now amounting to over \$900 million each year, to fund the state budget even after deducting public safety program payments to cities by the state; and

WHEREAS, in his proposed Fiscal Year 2009-10 budget the Governor has proposed transferring \$1 billion of local gas taxes and weight fees to the state general fund to balance the state budget, and over \$700 million in local gas taxes permanently in future years, immediately jeopardizing the ability of the City to maintain the City's streets, bridges, traffic signals, streetlights, sidewalks and related traffic safety facilities for the use of the motoring public; and

WHEREAS, the loss of almost all of the City's gas tax funds will seriously compromise the City's ability to perform critical traffic safety related street maintenance, including, but not limited to, drastically curtailing patching, resurfacing, street lighting/traffic signal maintenance, payment of electricity costs for street lights and signals, bridge maintenance and repair, sidewalk and curb ramp maintenance and repair, and more; and

WHEREAS, some cities report to the League of California Cities that they will be forced to eliminate part or all of their street maintenance operations while others will be forced to cut back in other areas (including public safety staffing levels) to use city general funds for basic street repair and maintenance. Furthermore, cities expect that liability damage awards will mount as basic maintenance is ignored and traffic accidents, injuries and deaths increase; and

WHEREAS, in both Proposition 5 in 1974 and Proposition 2 in 1998 the voters of our state overwhelmingly imposed restriction on the state's ability to do what the Governor has proposed, and any effort to permanently divert the local share of the gas tax would violate the state constitution and the will of the voters; and

WHEREAS, cities and counties maintain 81% of the state road network while the state directly maintains just 8%; and

WHEREAS, ongoing street maintenance is a significant public safety concern. A city's failure to maintain its street pavement (potholes filling, sealing, overlays, etc.), traffic signals, signs, and street lights has a direct correlation to traffic accidents, injuries and deaths; and

WHEREAS, according to a recent statewide needs assessment on a scale of zero (failed) to 100 (excellent), the statewide average pavement condition index (PCI) is 68, or "at risk." Local streets and roads will fall to "poor" condition (Score of 48) by 2033 based on existing funding levels available to cities and counties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Elk Grove hereby directs the City Attorney to take all necessary steps to cooperate with the League of California Cities, other cities and counties in supporting litigation against the state of California if the legislature enacts and the governor signs into law legislation that unconstitutionally diverts the City's share of funding from the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA), also known as the "gas tax," to fund the state general fund.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Manager or City Clerk shall send this resolution with an accompanying letter from the Mayor to the Governor and each legislator, informing them in the clearest of terms of the City's adamant resolve to oppose any effort to frustrate the will of the electorate as expressed in Proposition 5 (1974) and Proposition 8 (1998) concerning the proper use and allocation of the gas tax.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution shall be sent by the City Manager or City Clerk to the League of California Cities, the local Chamber of Commerce, and other community groups whose members are affected by this proposal to create unsafe conditions on the streets of our City for pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Elk Grove this 8<sup>th</sup> day of July 2009.

PATRICK HUME, MAYOR of the

CITY OF ELK GROVE

ATTEST:

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:** 

SUSAN I BLACKSTON CITY CLERK

SUSAN COCHRAN CITY ATTORNEY

## CERTIFICATION **ELK GROVE CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 2009-131**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	)	
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	)	SS
CITY OF ELK GROVE	)	

I, Susan J. Blackston, City Clerk of the City of Elk Grove, California, do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, approved, and adopted by the City Council of the City of Elk Grove at a regular meeting of said Council held on July 8, 2009 by the following vote:

AYES:

**COUNCILMEMBERS:** 

Hume, Scherman, Cooper, Davis, Detrick

NOES:

**COUNCILMEMBERS:** 

None

ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:

None

ABSENT:

**COUNCILMEMBERS:** 

None

Susan J. Blackston, City Clerk City of Elk Grove, California